THE HONOURABLE SHRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 26471 OF 2006

Dated 22nd January, 2007

Between:
Birdudula Venkatesh Reddy,
Represented by its Natural Guardian
Sri Dr.B.Ramachandra Reddy.

…Petitioner

And

The Additional Joint Secretary to the
Commissioner of Government Examinations,
A.P., Hyderabad and others.

…Respondents.

ORAL ORDER:

In this writ petition, the petitioner who appeared for SSC examinationS seeks a declaration that the action of the respondents in not revaluing and recounting the marks in English, Social Studies and Hindi as per his application dated 10.5.2006 is illegal and arbitrary.

It is the grievance of the petitioner that though the petitioner secured nearly 90% of marks in all other subjects, but in Hindi and English marks were not awarded to him as per his expectation. The petitioner was initially awarded 48 marks in Hindi and 81 marks in English, but, after application for recounting, there was a change in marks of Hindi and the same were revised to 54, whereas there was no change in the marks earlier awarded in English and the same were confirmed.

So far as the subject of Social Studies is concerned, the petitioner did not even make an application for recounting. He had merely applied for recounting of marks in English and Hindi, which was done accordingly.

So far as revaluation is concerned, though it is the case of the petitioner that in Hindi, he did not secure marks as per his expectation, but, I have scrutinized the answer-script and found that all the answers including the answers written on additional sheets have been valued and the petitioner secured 54 marks, though there was a mistake initially in counting, which, after recounting, was corrected. With regard to revaluation it has been well settled that in the absence of any provision for revaluation in the academic regulations, the petitioner is not entitled for revaluation. Mere

expectation of the petitioner with regard to correctness of the answers written by him is not the criterion and it is ultimately for the valuer to award marks basing on the correctness and the method of answering.

In that view of the matter, I do not find any ground to interfere in this writ petition. The writ petition, which is devoid of merits is dismissed. No costs.

_____

22.1.2007

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.

VR